

REMARKS

In the above-mentioned Office Action, claims 1 and 16 were rejected. Claims 1 and 16 were rejected under Section 102(a) over *Kikinis*. And, while objection was made to claims 2-15 and 17-20 for being dependent upon rejected parent claims, the Examiner acknowledged such claims to recite patentable subject matter. Additional objection was made to informalities in claims 16 and 17 and to page 1 of the specification.

The applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter of claims 2-15 and 17-20. Claim 2 has been placed in independent form as new claim 21, and claim 17 has been placed in independent form as new claim 22. Claims 3, 4, and 5 have been amended to place such claims in dependency upon claim 21. And, claim 18 has been amended to place the dependency of such claim upon new claim 22.

Claims 1, 16, and 17 have further been amended to correct for informalities therein. And, page 1 of the specification has been amended to overcome the Examiner's objection thereto.


The rejection of claims 1 and 16 under Section 102(a) is respectfully traversed. Upon review of *Kikinis*, there does not appear any disclosure that the routing tables would perform mapping of different identities. And, therefore, *Kikinis* fails to disclose a mapping table that maps identities of the first and at least second fixed-site transceivers of the first packet-data-system part to corresponding identities defined in the second packet data system part. And, to the contrary, *Kikinis* appears merely to use routing tables for routing of packets to correct destinations.

Accordingly, new claims 21 and 22 and the dependent claims dependent thereon are believed to be in condition for allowance. Claims 1 and 16 further are believed to be distinguishable over *Kikinis* and are also believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reexamination and reconsideration of independent claims 1, 16, 21, and 22, and the dependent claims dependent thereon is respectfully requested. Such early action is earnestly solicited.

Additionally enclosed herewith, under separate title, are formal drawings for the patent application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 13 May 04


Robert H. Kelly
Reg. No. 33,922

SCHEEF & STONE, L.L.P.
5956 Sherry Lane, Suite 1400
Dallas, Texas 75225
Telephone: (214) 706-4201
Fax: (214) 706-4242
robert.kelly@scheefandstone.com